

# The Pace code, the Mathieu group $M_{12}$ and the small Witt design $S(5, 6, 12)$

Jürgen Bierbrauer  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Michigan Technological University  
Houghton, Michigan 49931 (USA)

S. Marcugini and F. Pambianco  
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica  
Università degli Studi di Perugia  
Perugia (Italy)

March 29, 2022

## Abstract

A ternary  $[66, 10, 36]_3$ -code admitting the Mathieu group  $M_{12}$  as a group of automorphisms has recently been constructed by N. Pace, see Pace (2014). We give a construction of the Pace code in terms of  $M_{12}$  as well as a combinatorial description in terms of the small Witt design, the Steiner system  $S(5, 6, 12)$ . We also present a proof that the Pace code does indeed have minimum distance 36.

Published as:

J. Bierbrauer, S. Marcugini, F. Pambianco

The Pace code, the Mathieu group  $M_{12}$  and the small Witt design  $S(5, 6, 12)$

Discrete Mathematics 340 (2017) 1187–1190.

doi: 10.1016/j.disc.2016.12.018

# 1 Introduction

A large number of important mathematical objects are related to the Mathieu groups. For some general information see the ATLAS [2] and [3]. It came as a surprise when N. Pace found yet another such exceptional object, a  $[66, 10, 36]_3$ -code whose group of automorphisms is  $Z_2 \times M_{12}$  (see [4]). We present two constructions for this code, an algebraic construction which starts from the group  $M_{12}$  in its natural action as a group of permutations on 12 letters, and a combinatorial construction in terms of the Witt design  $S(5, 6, 12)$ . We also prove that the code has parameters as claimed. In the next section we start by recalling some of the basic properties of  $M_{12}$  and the small Witt design  $S(5, 6, 12)$ . A preliminary version of this work appeared in [1].

## 2 The ternary Golay code, $M_{12}$ and $S(5, 6, 12)$

The Mathieu group  $M_{12}$  is sharply 5-transitive on 12 letters and therefore has order  $12 \times 11 \times 10 \times 9 \times 8$ . It is best understood in terms of the ternary Golay code  $[12, 6, 6]_3$ . The ternary Golay code has a generator matrix  $(I|P)$  where  $I$  is the  $(6, 6)$ -unit matrix and

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The group  $M_{12}$  acts in terms of monomial operations on the ternary Golay code. We identify the 12 letters with the columns of the generator matrix and consider the action of  $M_{12}$  as a group of permutations on those 12 letters  $\{1, 2, \dots, 12\}$ .  $M_{12}$  is generated by  $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4$  and  $g$  where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= (2, 3, 5, 6, 4)(8, 9, 11, 12, 10), h_2 = (2, 3)(4, 5)(8, 9)(10, 11), \\ h_3 &= (3, 5, 4, 6)(9, 11, 10, 12), h_4 = (1, 2)(5, 6)(7, 8)(11, 12), \\ g &= (5, 12)(6, 11)(7, 8)(9, 10). \end{aligned}$$

The group  $H = \langle h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 \rangle$  of order 120 is the stabilizer of  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ . Call a 6-set an information set if the corresponding submatrix is invertible, call it a block if the submatrix has rank 5. The terminology derives from the fact that the blocks define a Steiner system  $S(5, 6, 12)$ , the small Witt design. There are 132 blocks and  $12 \times 11 \times 6$  information sets. The complement of a block is a block as well. The stabilizer of each 5-set is  $S_5$ , the stabilizer of a block has order  $10 \times 9 \times 8 = 720 = 6!$  and the stabilizer of an information set has order  $5!$  The stabilizer of a 2-set has order 1440. This stabilizer is the group  $PGL(2, 9) \cong Aut(A_6)$ . In the sequel we identify the 12 letters with a basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{12}\}$  of a vector space  $V = V(12, 3)$  over the field with three elements and consider the corresponding action of  $M_{12}$  on  $V$ .

### 3 The 10-dimensional module of $M_{12}$

Clearly  $M_{12}$  acts on an 11-dimensional submodule of  $V$ , the augmentation ideal  $I = \{\sum_{i=1}^{12} a_i v_i \mid \sum a_i = 0\}$  and on a 1-dimensional submodule generated by the diagonal  $\Delta = v_1 + \dots + v_{12}$ . As we are in characteristic 3, we have  $\Delta \in I$ , and  $M_{12}$  acts on the 10-dimensional factor space  $Z = I/\langle \Delta \rangle$ . The  $u_i = v_i - v_{12}, i \leq 11$  are a basis of  $I$  and  $z_i = \bar{u}_i = u_i + \Delta \mathbb{F}_3, i \leq 10$  are a basis of  $Z$ . Here  $\sum_{i=1}^{11} u_i = \Delta$ , hence  $z_{11} = -z_1 - \dots - z_{10}$ .

### 4 The Pace code

We consider the action of  $M_{12}$  on the 10-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -vector space  $Z$  with its basis  $z_i = \bar{u}_i = v_i - v_{12} + \Delta \mathbb{F}_3, i = 1, \dots, 10$ . Recall that it is induced by the permutation representation on  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{12}\}$ . This action defines embeddings of  $M_{12}$  in  $GL(10, 3)$  and in  $PGL(10, 3)$ . For each orbit of  $M_{12}$  we consider the projective ternary code whose generator matrix has as columns representatives of the projective points constituting the orbit.

The point generated by  $z_1 = \bar{u}_1 = \bar{v}_1 - \bar{v}_{12}$  has as stabilizer the stabilizer of an unordered pair in  $M_{12}$ , of order 1440. The length of the orbit is therefore 66. This is not the orbit we are interested in. It is in fact easy to see that the corresponding  $[66, 10]_3$ -code has a small minimum distance.

**Definition 1.** Let  $X \subset \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}, |X| = 6$ .

Define  $v_X = \sum_{i \in X} v_i, z_X = \bar{v}_X$ .

It is clear that  $v_X \in I$ , and  $z_X \in Z$  is therefore defined.

**Proposition 1.** *The  $z_X \in Z$  where  $X$  varies over the blocks of  $S(5, 6, 12)$  form an orbit of length 132 in  $Z$ . In the action on projective points (in  $PG(9, 3)$ ) this yields an orbit of length 66.*

*Proof.* Clearly  $M_{12}$  permutes the  $z_X$  in the same way as it permutes the blocks  $X$ . This yields an orbit of length 132 in  $Z = V(10, 3)$ . If  $\bar{X}$  is the complement of  $X$ , then  $v_{\bar{X}} + v_X = \Delta$ , hence  $z_{\bar{X}} = -z_X$ . It follows that  $M_{12}$  acts transitively on the 66 points in  $PG(9, 3)$  generated by the  $z_X$  (block  $X$  and its complement generating the same projective point).  $\square$

**Definition 2.** *Let  $C$  be the  $[66, 10]_3$ -code whose generator matrix has as columns representatives of the orbit of  $M_{12}$  on the  $z_X$  where  $X$  is a block.*

This is one way of representing the Pace code. Observe that each complementary pair of blocks contributes one column of the generator matrix. We may use as representatives the vectors  $z_X$  where  $X$  varies over the 66 blocks  $X$  not containing the letter 12. As the stabilizer of a block in  $M_{12}$  is  $S_6$  it follows that the stabilizer of a point in the orbit equals the stabilizer of a complementary pair of blocks and is twice as large as  $S_6$ . The stabilizer is  $PGL(2, 9)$ , of order  $2 \times 6!$

## 5 A combinatorial description

We introduce some notation.

**Definition 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a family of subsets (blocks) of a  $v$ -element set  $\Omega$ . Let  $A, B \subset \Omega$  be disjoint subsets,  $|A| = a, |B| = b$ . Define a matrix  $G$  with  $k = v - a - b$  rows and  $n$  columns where  $n$  is the number of blocks disjoint from  $A$ . We identify the rows of  $G$  with the points  $i \in \Omega \setminus (A \cup B)$  and the columns with the blocks  $X$  disjoint from  $A$ . The entry in row  $i$  and column  $X$  is  $= 1$  if  $i \in X$ , it is  $= 0$  otherwise. As the entries of  $G$  are  $0, 1$  we can consider them as elements of an arbitrary finite field  $K$ . Define  $\mathcal{C} = C_{A,B}(\mathcal{B}, K)$  to be the code generated by  $G$  over  $K$ .*

Observe that the column of  $G$  indexed by  $X \in \mathcal{B}$  is the characteristic function of the set  $X \setminus B$ . We write  $C_{a,b}(\mathcal{B}, K)$  instead if the choice of the subsets  $A, B$  does not matter. For instance, this is the case in particular if the automorphism group of  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $(a + b)$ -transitive. Code  $\mathcal{C}$  is a  $K$ -linear code of length  $n$ . Its designed dimension is  $k$  but the true distance may be smaller.

It is unclear what the minimum distance is. Observe that  $C_{A,B}(\mathcal{B}, K)$  is a subcode of  $C_{A,\emptyset}(\mathcal{B}, K)$ : a generator matrix of the smaller code arises from the generator matrix of the larger code by omitting some  $|B|$  rows. In case  $a = b = 0$  the columns of  $G$  correspond to the blocks, and the column indexed by  $X \in \mathcal{B}$  simply is the characteristic function of  $X$ .

**Proposition 2.** *The Pace code from Definition 2 is monomially equivalent to  $C_{1,1}(S(5, 6, 12), \mathbb{F}_3)$ .*

*Proof.* The generator matrix of Definition 2 has rows indexed by  $i \in \{1, \dots, 10\}$  and columns indexed by blocks  $X$  of  $S(5, 6, 12)$  not containing the letter 12. If also  $11 \notin X$ , then the corresponding column is the characteristic function of  $X$ . Let  $11 \in X$ . As  $z_{11} = -z_1 - \dots - z_{10}$  the entries in this column are  $= 0$  if  $i \in X$ ,  $= 2$  if  $i \notin X$ . Taking the negative of this column, we obtain the characteristic function of  $\overline{X} \setminus \{12\}$ . We arrive at the generator matrix of  $C_{A,B}(S(5, 6, 12), \mathbb{F}_3)$  where  $A = \{11\}$ ,  $B = \{12\}$ .  $\square$

We used a computer program to confirm that the  $[66, 10, 36]_3$ -code from [4] is indeed equivalent to the code described in the present and the previous section.

## 6 Combinatorial properties of the small Witt design

The following elementary properties of the Steiner system  $S(5, 6, 12)$  will be used in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}$  and  $A, B \subset \Omega$ ,  $|A| = a$ ,  $|B| = b$  and such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $a + b \leq 5$ . Let  $i(a, b)$  be the number of blocks which contain  $A$  and are disjoint from  $B$ . Then  $i(b, a) = i(a, b)$  and*

$$i(5, 0) = 1, i(4, 0) = 4, i(3, 0) = 12, i(2, 0) = 30, i(1, 0) = 66,$$

$$i(1, 1) = 36, i(2, 1) = 18, i(3, 1) = 8, i(4, 1) = 3, i(2, 2) = 10, i(3, 2) = 5.$$

*Proof.*  $i(5, 0) = 1$  is the definition of a Steiner 5-design,  $i(b, a) = i(a, b)$  follows from the fact that the complements of blocks are blocks. The rest follows from obvious counting arguments.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *A family of five 3-subsets of a 6-set contains at least two 3-subsets which meet in 2 points.*

*Proof.* This is immediately verified.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $U \subset \{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$  such that  $|U| = 6$ . If  $U$  is a block, the number of blocks  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $|B \cap U| = 3$  is 20; if  $U$  is not a block, this number equals 30.*

*Proof.* This is an application of the principle of inclusion and exclusion. Let  $U$  not be a block. The total number of blocks meeting  $U$  in 3 points is  $\binom{6}{3} - 4 \times \binom{6}{4} \times i(4, 0) + 10 \times \binom{6}{5} = 60$ , and clearly half of those blocks belong to  $\mathcal{B}$ . In the case when  $U$  is a block, the calculation is similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, 12\}$  and  $\Omega = A \cup B \cup C$  where  $|A| = |B| = |C| = 4$  and  $P \in C$ . The number of blocks which meet each of  $A, B, C$  in cardinality 2 and avoid  $P$  is at most 18.*

Lemma 4 can be proved by a direct calculation using coordinates.

## 7 The parameters of the Pace code

**Theorem 1.** *The Pace code is a self-orthogonal  $[66, 10, 36]_3$ -code.*

In the remainder of this section we prove Theorem 1. We use the Pace code in the form  $C = C_{A,B}(S(5, 6, 12), \mathbb{F}_3)$  where  $A = \{12\}, B = \{11\}$ , see Definition 3. The length is  $n = i(0, 1) = 66$ , the designed dimension is  $k = 10$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the blocks of  $S(5, 6, 12)$  not containing 12. Observe that the columns of  $G$  are the characteristic functions of  $X \setminus \{11\}$  where  $X \in \mathcal{B}$ . Let  $r_i, 1 \leq i \leq 10$  be the rows of the generator matrix of Definition 3. The codewords of  $C$  have the form  $\sum_{i \in U} r_i - \sum_{j \in V} r_j$ , where  $U, V$  are disjoint subsets of  $\{1, \dots, 10\}$ . The number of zeroes of this codeword is the nullity  $\nu(U, V)$ , the number of blocks  $X \in \mathcal{B}$  satisfying the condition that  $|X \cap U|$  and  $|X \cap V|$  have the same congruence mod 3. Let  $c \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  be this congruence. We need to show that  $\nu(U, V) \leq 30$  for all  $(U, V)$  except when  $U = V = \emptyset$ . This will prove the claim that the nonzero weights are  $\geq 36$  and also that the dimension is 10.

Let  $W$  be the complement of  $U \cup V$  in  $\{1, \dots, 11\}$ . If  $u = |U|, v = |V|, w = |W|$  then  $u + v + w = 11$  and  $w > 0$ . We have  $\nu(U, V) = \sum_c k_c(u, v, w)$ , where

$k_c(u, v, w)$  is the number of  $X \in \mathcal{B}$  meeting each of  $U, V, W$  in a cardinality congruent to  $c \pmod 3$ . Observe that  $k_c(u, v, w)$  is symmetric in its arguments as long as the condition  $w > 0$  is satisfied. The weight of  $r_i$  is  $i(1, 1) = 36$  (this is case  $u = 1, v = 0$ ). In particular  $r_i \cdot r_i = 0$ . Also  $r_i \cdot r_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  as  $i(2, 1) = 18$  is a multiple of 3. It follows that  $C$  is self-orthogonal. All codeword weights and nullities are therefore multiples of 3. It suffices to show  $\nu(u, v) < 33$  for all  $(u, v) \neq (0, 0)$ .

In the sequel we verify that  $\nu(U, V) < 33$  in a case by case analysis. If  $u = 10$  then  $v = 0, w = 1$  and  $\nu(10, 0) = k_0(10, 0, 1) = i(0, 2) = 30$ . In case  $u = 9$  we have  $\nu(9, 1) = k_1(9, 1, 1) + k_0(9, 1, 1) = i(2, 1) + i(0, 3) = 18 + 12 = 30$ ,  $\nu(9, 0) = k_0(9, 0, 2) = i(0, 3) = 12$ . In case  $u = 8$  we have  $\nu(8, 1) = \nu(8, 2)$  by symmetry, and  $\nu(8, 2) = k_1(8, 2, 1) + k_0(8, 2, 1) = 2i(2, 2) + i(0, 4) = 20 + 4 = 24$ ,  $\nu(8, 0) = k_0(8, 0, 3) = i(0, 4) + i(3, 1) = 4 + 8 = 12$ . Let  $u = 7$ . By symmetry it suffices to consider the triples  $(u, v, w) = (7, 2, 2), (7, 1, 3), (7, 0, 4)$ . We obtain  $\nu(7, 2) = k_2(7, 2, 2) + k_1(7, 2, 2) + k_0(7, 2, 2) = i(4, 1) + 4i(2, 3) + i(0, 5) = 24$ ,  $\nu(7, 1) = k_1(7, 1, 3) + k_0(7, 1, 3) = 3i(2, 3) + i(0, 5) + i(3, 2) = 21$ ,  $\nu(7, 0) = k_0(7, 0, 4) = i(0, 5) + 4i(3, 2) = 21$ . If  $u = 6$  it can be assumed by symmetry that  $v \leq 2$ . We obtain  $\nu(6, 2) = k_2(6, 2, 3) + k_1(6, 2, 3) + k_0(6, 2, 3) \leq 3i(4, 1) + 6i(1, 4) + i(3, 2) = 27 + 5 < 33$ . Let now  $u = 6, v = 1$ . Then  $c \neq 2$  and  $k_1(6, 1, 4) \leq 4i(1, 4) + 1 = 13$ . Consider  $k_0(6, 1, 4)$ . There is at most one  $X \in \mathcal{B}$  avoiding  $V \cup W \cup \{12\}$ . All remaining contributions to  $k_0(6, 1, 4)$  correspond to blocks  $X$  meeting  $W$  in three points. There are 4 possibilities for  $X \cap W$ , and in each case Lemma 2 shows that at most 4 blocks yield contributions, as otherwise some two different blocks would meet in five points. This shows  $k_0(6, 1, 4) \leq 1 + 16 = 17$  and therefore  $\nu(6, 1) \leq 13 + 17 = 30$ . In case  $u = 6, v = 0$  we have  $c = 0$  and either  $X = U$  or  $X$  meets  $U$  in 3 points. We are done by Lemma 3.

Let  $u = 5$ . By symmetry it can be assumed  $3 \leq v \leq 5$ . In case  $v = 5$  we have  $k_1(5, 5, 1) \leq 10, k_0(5, 5, 1) \leq 20$ , and in case  $v = 4$  we have  $k_2(5, 4, 2) \leq 12, k_1(5, 4, 2) \leq 2 + 10 = 12, k_0(5, 4, 2) \leq 8$ , hence  $\nu(5, 4) < 33$ . As  $k_2(5, 3, 3) \leq 18, k_1(5, 3, 3) \leq 9$  and  $k_0(5, 3, 3) \leq 1 + 2 \times 2$  we have  $\nu(5, 3) < 33$ . The final case to consider is  $(u, v, w) = (4, 4, 3)$ . In case  $c = 0$  we have that  $X$  meets two of the subsets  $U, V, W$  in cardinality 3. If  $W \subset X$ , there are at most two such blocks. There are at most four blocks meeting each of  $U, V$  in cardinality 3. It follows  $k_0(4, 4, 3) \leq 6$ . If  $c = 1$ , then either  $U \subset X$  or  $V \subset X$ . It follows  $k_1(4, 4, 3) \leq 6$ . The most difficult case is  $c = 2$ . Lemma 4 states  $k_2(4, 4, 3) \leq 18$ . We are done.

## References

- [1] J. Bierbrauer, S. Marcugini and F. Pambianco, *A combinatorial construction of an  $M_{12}$ -invariant code*, in Proceedings of ACCT, Albena, Bulgaria, 2016, pp. 325-329.
- [2] R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker, R.A. Wilson, *ATLAS of Finite Groups*, Oxford University Press, 1985.
- [3] A.A. Ivanov, *Geometry of Sporadic Groups 1*, Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [4] N. Pace: *New ternary linear codes from projectivity groups*, *Discrete Mathematics* **331** (2014), 22-26.